

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,638	10/31/2003	Rex Wesley Shores	31849.35	3375
46334 7590 12/06/2007 HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 Main Street			EXAMINER	
			CUMBERLEDGE, JERRY L	
Suite 3100 Dallas, TX 75202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/698,638 SHORES ET AL. **Art Unit** Examiner Jerry Cumberledge 3733

M

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):				
(1) <u>Jerry Cumberledge</u> .	(3) <u>Andrew Lowes</u> .				
(2) <u>Eduardo Robert</u> .	(4) <u>Gregory Webb</u> .				
Date of Interview: 19 November 2007.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-23</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Mickel et al. (US Pat. 6,062,575).					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Shee</u>					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE	he last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS NTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Exammer's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative explained his interpretation of the claims and why he believes the Mickel et al. reference does not read on them. He argued that the ball does not move with respect to what the examiner is considering to be the coupling shaft and that it thus cannot move through a first path in a direction at least partially parallel to the longitudinal axis. The examiner argued that the ball does move with respect to the coupling shaft, since the ball is rolling and the rotational motion of the ball will cause the ball to move through a path that is at least partially parallel to the longitudinal axis. Also discused was the possibility of claiming the aperture in a more structurally specific way (e.g. defining the length and width of the aperture). Further search and/or consideration will be necessary.